. ¥	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/724,420	CHU, KWONG WING
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bena Miller	3714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<del>_</del>
(1) <u>Bena Miller</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Eric LaMorte</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 October 2004	Time: <u>10:30 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
Buch. MIOO  (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to place the application in condition for allowance, the examiner suggested to applicant's representative to incorporate claim language -- providing a connector mechanism having two components being disposed along said central axis -- in line 6 of claim 9. The applicant's representative agreed.